

*O' Connell*

14. The arrangement of claim 6 wherein the AC output voltage may reasonably be characterized as being a squarewave voltage.

15. The arrangement of claim 7 wherein the half-bridge inverter means is made to oscillate by way of positive feedback administered by way of a saturable inductor means.

---

CONCLUDING REMARKS

From Examiner's office action, it is clear to Applicant that Examiner does not possess ordinary skill in the art to which this patent application pertains; and

Applicant herewith makes an official allegation to the effect that Examiner does not possess ordinary skill in the art relevant to the proper examination of this application.

Clearly, without possessing ordinary skill in the art to which a given subject matter belongs, it is meaningless -- with respect to that given subject matter -- for a person to render judgements in respect to: i) what is obvious and what is unobvious, ii) what is usual and what is unusual, iii) what is common practice and what is not, iv) what is safe practice and what is not, v) what is feasible to do and what is not, vi) what is practicable and what is not, vii) what works and what does not, viii) what kinds of circuits and/or elements are combinable and what kinds are not, ix) how circuits function and how they do not function, x) what may be regarded as prima facie so versus prima facie not so; xi) what is factually so versus what is factually not so; xii) etc.; etc.


Hence, if Examiner does not possess ordinary skill in the art relevant to the examination of instant application and the subject matter claimer therein, he is simply not qualified to render judgements relative to what is obvious and/or what is unobvious.

Except if Examiner were to provide credible evidence to the effect that he does possess ordinary skill in the art relevant to the proper examination of this application and its claimed subject matter, Applicant will proceed in the prosecution

on the basis that Examiner has acknowledged Applicant's allegation to the effect that Examiner does not possess ordinary skill in the art relevant to the proper examination of this application and the subject matter claimed therein.

Although Applicant believes it ought to be entirely unnecessary, he is willing -- if absolutely required in order to attain allowance of his claims -- to provide expert testimony to the effect of corroborating any facts herein alleged by Applicant.

In view of the arguments and amendements presented hereinabove, all the claims are clearly allowable over the cited prior art.



Ole K. Nilssen, Pro Se Applicant

Date: 10-7-87

312-658-5615